

Message

---

**Sent:** 10/31/2017 3:47:10 PM  
**To:** Davis, Patrick [davis.patrick@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Mutter, Andrew [mutter.andrew@epa.gov]; Faulk, Libby [Faulk.Libby@epa.gov]; Benevento, Douglas [benevento.douglas@epa.gov]; Smidinger, Betsy [Smidinger.Betsy@epa.gov]; Murray, Bill [Murray.Bill@epa.gov]; Cirian, Mike [Cirian.Mike@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: CFAC site questions

Hi, Patrick:

Yes, the \$302,326 payment from the Columbia Falls Aluminum Company (CFAC, backed by Glencore) is consistent with the November 2015 Administrative Order on Consent signed with the company for remedial investigation and feasibility study. In that agreement, CFAC agreed to conduct the investigation of the nature and extent of contamination and reimburse EPA for oversight costs. I'm not quite sure what aluminum the commenter is referring to, but CFAC is also conducting demolition work on the facility buildings and removing spent potliners from aluminum production under a separate Administrative Order on Consent with Montana Department of Environmental Quality under the state's Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA, or Hazardous Waste) program. That is occurring outside of the Superfund process.

---

**From:** Faulk, Libby  
**Sent:** Monday, October 30, 2017 3:04 PM  
**To:** Mutter, Andrew <mutter.andrew@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Vranka, Joe <vranka.joe@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: CFAC site questions

This is a Montana site that Robert covers along with Mike Cirian as the RPM. I've cc'd Joe. Thanks, Libby

---

**From:** Mutter, Andrew  
**Sent:** Monday, October 30, 2017 10:43 AM  
**To:** Faulk, Libby  
**Subject:** FW: CFAC site questions

Best regards,

Andrew

**Andrew Mutter**

Director, Office of Communication and Public Involvement  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8 (Denver, CO)

Office: 303.312.6448  
Cell: 720.520.3047

Twitter: [@EPARegion8](https://twitter.com/EPARegion8)  
Facebook: [U.S. EPA Region 8](https://www.facebook.com/USEPARegion8)  
Webpage: [EPA Region 8 \(Mountains and Plains\)](https://www.epa.gov/region8)

---

**From:** Davis, Patrick  
**Sent:** Monday, October 30, 2017 10:17 AM  
**To:** Smidinger, Betsy <[Smidinger.Betsy@epa.gov](mailto:Smidinger.Betsy@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Mutter, Andrew <[mutter.andrew@epa.gov](mailto:mutter.andrew@epa.gov)>; Benevento, Douglas <[benevento.douglas@epa.gov](mailto:benevento.douglas@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** CFAC site questions

Hi Betsy,  
I have a few questions after reading the following story:

- Is the \$302,326 oversight fee in line with the negotiated agreement with CFAC?
- Re: Mr. Fisher's comment on the story – Where is the aluminum being sent?

Thanks,

CFAC Superfund Site Investigation Costs Approach \$4 Million

<http://flatheadbeacon.com/2017/10/27/cfac-site-investigation-costs-approach-4-million/>

Ron Fisher

I wonder how much \$\$\$ is the EPA making from selling all those large pieces of aluminum of which i have seen many flatbed fulls heading down the road? Someone or a business has to be making some serious money buying/selling those large chunks of the shinny metal?

Patrick Davis  
Senior Advisor to the Regional Administrator for Public Engagement  
1595 Wynkoop Street  
Denver, CO 80202  
303-312-6855 (direct)  
720-607-9055 (cell)